Educator evaluation is on the center stage of school reform, pushed there by both the larger accountability movement brought to the forefront by No Child Left Behind mandates and competitive grants such as Race to the Top. Although the spotlight has mostly been on teachers, increasingly, principals are being highlighted as well. To ensure that this particular school reform is well executed, core pillars of principal evaluation systems must be established. Although quality evaluation systems can take multiple shapes and include multiple components, they require two core anchors—robust guiding principles and the right content.

We draw our recommendations from a variety of sources and experiences, including the development of statewide principal evaluation programs in Delaware, Ohio, New York, Kentucky, Mississippi, and a consortium of 40 districts in Illinois, as well as the development of the Vanderbilt Assessment of Leadership in Education (VALED), which is currently in use in approximately 4,500 schools. In building VALED, we conducted reviews and original investigations on the state of principal evaluation in the U.S. and tracked lessons learned by seminal actors in this area.

The Current State of Affairs
Principal evaluation processes have remained largely unaltered over the past 30 years. Here is what we know. First, principal evaluation processes have not been developed from the best understanding of effective leadership or from the body of scholarship on school improvement. If one accepts a core law of measurement—that you get what you measure—this is not good news.

Second, while improving in some places, the process of principal evaluation in much of the country leaves a good deal to be desired. It is often
perfunctory. It does not promote meaningful improvement—based dialogue between principals and their supervisors. It has a purpose—meeting mandates—but it lacks viable goals and vitality. It is often devoid of objective evidence of performance, relying on very limited and often weak measures, such as ad hoc feedback from members of the community. Valid gradations of performance are conspicuous by their absence.

Third, information from the evaluation system is largely inconsequential and is not used to guide important decisions, such as promotions. It is unhinged from outcomes. That is, principal evaluation is generally decoupled from school improvement, professional growth, and personnel actions, such as incentives. In the long run, such evaluations are highly problematic for quality schooling. They too often provide a poor platform for school improvement, which we argue should be the endgame of the process.

The DNA of Productive Evaluation Systems

Here are some important lessons for building productive principal evaluation systems, gathered from our experiences in developing and piloting statewide principal evaluation systems.

**Principles.** Over the past 30 years, we have learned that there is a set of “essential principles” that are at the heart of school improvement (e.g., consistency, alignment, a relentless focus on challenging outcomes). In Visible Learning, the most comprehensive analysis of research findings ever compiled on educational effectiveness, John Hattie researchers achieved a unifying intellectual breakthrough about classroom improvement, writing, “It is less the ‘methods’ per se, than the principles of effective teaching and learning that matter.” Over the past decade, we have confirmed that this conclusion also holds in the field of principal evaluation, where there are three types of guiding principles: foundational, process, and outcome.

Foundational principles deal with the overarching frames of the evaluation—“the why.” An example of a foundational principle, for instance, is that an evaluation system be rooted in national standards for school leaders. Process principles address the “how” of evaluation—for instance, that evaluations have defined timelines, include multiple measures, etc.

Finally, outcome principles speak to larger goals, such as school improvement. These remind us that evaluation is not an end in itself—it is a means for achieving ends.

The Guiding Principles for Principal Evaluation Systems table provides a list of these essential principles needed to anchor principal evaluation systems (see page 23). Unfortunately, it is rare to find evaluation systems that consciously identify and incorporate these principles in evaluation designs.

**Context.** If guiding principles form one strand of principal evaluation, knowledge about leadership for excellent schools forms the other. As noted earlier, the system is only as good as the “stuff” it assesses. The good news here is that we know what this content is: rigorous curriculum, quality instruction, and personalized culture, for example. And all of the VALED schools and states that we have developed principal evaluation programs for have noted that this content is captured in the national standards for school leaders as they come to life through professional development. In addition, strong academic programs should address the following:

- **Curricular rigor, relevance, and alignment.** This includes good teachers, effective teaching, and well employed monitoring and assessment systems.
- **Building productive school culture.** This includes personalized culture for students, professional culture for teachers, and a culture of engagement for parents and the community.
- **Process principles.** This includes establishing vision, mission, and expectations, and coordinating and aligning activity.

We do not need to conduct a new search for the content of principal evaluation. We need to find ways to tap into this knowledge, and then to craft better ways to measure principal practice as it relates to that information.

**Designing Systems.** We have learned that it is a mistake to begin the process of building the evaluation system with a focus on finding the “right” components (e.g., goals, value-added test scores, observations). But it is, unfortunately, a common mistake. Successful systems can be built using different components, and, additionally, these components can differ from district to district. What we often find, though, is that “component” identification often trumps attention to appropriate content and almost always ignores critical guiding principles. Components are an important part of the principal evaluation narrative, but the essential work is to ensure that they grow from the two most critical dimensions of the system—content and principles.

**Common Pitfalls.** We have learned lessons about some of the specific “fashionable” components in principal evaluation systems. Components about the “the right stuff” and honor “the guiding principles.”

**Misuse of Achievement Data.** Our work has also allowed us to conclude that more common sense and less bombast needs to be brought to the issue of using student outcomes as a dimension of principal evaluation systems. This element is weighted between 25 and 50 percent in the five statewide systems and the Illinois Consortium, for example. Similar percentages can be seen in principal evaluation systems throughout the nation. However, student outcomes cover a good deal of ground. All the six state systems that we developed encourage the supervisor and the principal to create academic growth goals that make sense for the school in question. They can use state tests, district norm-referenced tests, end-of-unit examinations, advanced placement course completions, IB scores, etc., and so forth. There is no magic source of achievement data. In the area of student outcomes, we have also learned that a singular focus...
Take Charge of Principal Evaluations

Comprehensive principal evaluation systems can be an effective way to support the professional growth of instructional leaders, helping them to learn, grow, and improve schools. As states and districts across the nation take steps towards developing systems and improving evaluation processes, Pennsylvania districts are implementing the Framework for Leadership set by the Pennsylvania Department of Education.

The research used to develop this model is based on a review of work from other states, the Core and Corollary Standards, which detail the skills and abilities for effective principals, as well as a review of relevant research. The model shares strategic goals with the Danielson Framework for Teaching, which is also used in the district. The rubric assesses four domains:

- Strategic/cultural leadership;
- Leadership for learning; and
- Systems leadership;
- Professional and community leadership.

In an effort to increase communication and collaboration, the superintendent meets with building principals and other administrators in the summer to set goals for the upcoming year. A mid-year meeting is held during the winter to review progress on goals. Last, an end-of-year meeting is held so that the summative evaluation can be completed. Principals are also encouraged to compile evidence throughout the year to support their personal and professional growth in each domain.

Accurately measuring principal effectiveness is an important discussion at the district, state, and national level. But, this can’t be a topic just for policymakers and superintendents; principals must take a leading role and include their voices in this important conversation. Here are four ways that principals can maximize the evaluation experience, regardless of the model that is used.

1. Know the standards for measurement. Be familiar with the tool your supervisor uses to evaluate performance. Whether it is a rubric, student achievement data, a portfolio system, or a combination of these elements, understanding the expectations and the method of measurement will help you gather the necessary evidence to demonstrate progress in each area.

2. Set goals that connect to district initiatives. Aligning building-level goals to district-level goals engages all educators in a common purpose. Include goals that fit within an area of interest for teachers and building leaders to ensure school commitment to achieving goals.

3. Keep your supervisor well informed. The evaluation process isn’t always a two-way street. Work to build a relationship by keeping your superintendent abreast of the happenings in your building. Send periodic updates via email, or set aside time every quarter to meet to ensure that they are aware of the progress towards the goals.

4. Create positive PR. Getting the word out about the great things happening in your school is critical. Principals can take this proactive approach by sending out newsletters, posting a principal’s blog, or communicating with local media. These strategies help to publicize student accomplishments, classroom activities, and school events, while also raising community awareness, engaging parents in your school, and informing your supervisor.

Jacie Maslyk is principal of Crafton Elementary School in Pittsburgh, Pennsylvania.
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